

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/606,702	06/29/2000	Mark R. Johansen	470AM	7467	
75	590 11/20/2002				
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC P O Box 4390			EXAMINER		
			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA		
Troy, MI 4809	99-4390		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	·			h 🗸			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	70			
		09/606,702	JOHANSEN, MARK R.	JOHANSEN, MARK R.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Niki M. Eloshway	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u> August 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under			rits is			
·	ion of Claims						
•							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 and 14-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>23,25,26 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Evaminer				
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	.— .					
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rej		alouppiovou by the Examinor.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage)			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			cation).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •					
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July, 15, 2002 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 6-9 and 14-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23, 25, 26 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duhaime et al. (U.S. 5,425,470) in view of Arnold et al. (U.S. 6,290,094). Duhaime et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the cap being simultaneously compression molded. Arnold et al. teaches that it is known to compression mold a cap while integrally joined to a blow molded container. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 09/606,702

Art Unit: 3727

provide the method of Duhaime et al. with the closure being compression molded, as taught by Arnold et al., in order to easily form the closure simultaneously with the formation of the container.

Duhaime et al. teach a method of forming a fuel tank comprising providing mold halves14 and 16, providing a parison (col. 2 lines 21-22), providing a pressurizing fluid (col. 2 lines 43-44), separating a cap (col. 2 line 49), heat welding a cap to the container (col. 2 lines 63-64 and col. 3 lines 16-17). Duhaime et al. also discloses in col. 3 lines 11-12 that the closure may be manufactures simultaneously with the container. The parison of Duhaime et al. has a vapor barrier layer 24 of EVOH between inner and outer layers 20, 22 of HDPE.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is cited for the multi-layer structure.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/606,702

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (703) 308-1606. The examiner is in the office on Tuesdays and Fridays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-5648.

Niki M. Eloshway/nme

Page 4

Patent Examiner

November 15, 2002